October Meeting Primer

An optional read-ahead and quick reference, about 10 minutes

On Stoic Living Discussion Series · Guide 10 of 12

Guiding Question: What is this pleasure promising — and do I have grounds to agree?

At the Meeting

- **Today's reading: Epictetus, *Enchiridion* 34**. We'll bring it into discussion question 2.
- **The shared case:** A cliffhanger makes another episode feel like a justified exception to an earlier plan. Everyone can reason from these facts, whether or not they have done the weekly practice.

Before You Give In

An enjoyable episode ends, and the next is ready. You had planned to stop. The attraction arrives with a reason attached: one more would be a good way to finish the day.

October asks how we can keep judgment in charge when an urge feels compelling. First, hear the claim clearly. Then compare the enjoyment with what follows and the value of keeping a choice you had reason to make. A prepared rule helps bring that reason to mind when an exception suddenly sounds persuasive.

The earlier decision still needs to fit the circumstances. A changed responsibility may support a different answer. A stronger attraction alone leaves the earlier reason to be examined. What matters is the judgment you can stand behind while the pleasure remains appealing.

The readings connect delay, attention, and the reason behind a rule. *For ancient texts, use any translation you like.*

Optional Reading (about 8 minutes)

1. **Epictetus, *Enchiridion* 34** (2 minutes) This is the passage we'll read together. Which moments does Epictetus ask us to compare, and what gives the anticipated satisfaction its point?
2. **Epictetus, *Discourses* 4.12.1–5** (2 minutes) How does attention still belong in play and enjoyment? What does that suggest about the choice made within an enjoyable activity?
3. **Seneca, *Letters* 95.39–40** (2 minutes) Why does conduct that looks right still need an understanding of its reason?
4. **Epictetus, *Enchiridion* 33.1** (2 minutes) What does Epictetus ask us to establish before particular encounters? How could that support a reasoned rule?

Think About

The shared case is enough to participate. We explore reasons and alternatives before using the application steps.

- What claim arrives with the wish to keep watching?
- What gives this pleasure a claim on your attention now?
- How would you tell sound restraint from keeping a rule for its own sake?

Application at the Meeting

Application after discussion: Question the Exception. Use the steps once to test the understanding developed together.

```
1. **Pause.** What is the attraction asking me to believe?
2. **Compare.** What would I enjoy, regret, or value in declining?
3. **Test.** Does my reason still hold, or have relevant circumstances changed?
```

Compare a judgment supporting the earlier plan with one supporting an exception. Use Pause → Compare → Test once. Add the changed start time if useful and ask which reason it changes. Let either conclusion stand if its grounds warrant it.

The outcome is a sound judgment about the claim or exception. Visible refusal, a weaker urge, or rule-keeping alone cannot establish it.

Key Terms

For reference during the meeting. No need to memorize these.

Impression (*phantasia*). How something appears to you, including the claim that a pleasure is worth choosing now.

Assent (*synkatathesis*). Accepting what an impression presents as true or fitting. You can examine a claim before accepting it or reconsider it afterward.

Urge. The felt pull of an attraction. By the time you notice it, you may already have accepted a claim about what it warrants.

Impulse (*hormē*). In Stoic usage, a movement toward action involving assent. It differs from using "urge" for every felt attraction.

Pause and Compare. A variation of examining impressions that delays the decision and compares enjoyment, aftermath, and the value of declining for a sound reason.

Attention (*prosochē*). Attentiveness to how you use choice, including the judgments that give an attraction priority.

Principles and precepts. General ethical judgments and particular guidance for conduct. A useful rule connects the two.

Self-control. Remaining faithful to sound reason despite pleasure's pull. The reason gives restraint its direction.

The Discipline of Assent. Training what we endorse. October's question is *How can I keep my judgment in charge when an urge feels compelling?*